

**Policies** 

# **ACADEMIC MISCONDUCT POLICY**

## 1. POLICY OVERVIEW

1.1 This Policy sets out what happens when there is a suspected breach of academic regulations. At the beginning of each Phase of the process, an appropriate person(s) will be appointed to progress the case to the earliest resolution. This will either be an Authorised Person, Investigator or panel (see appendix A for definition of roles).

### 2. DEFINITIONS

- 2.1 Breach of Academic Regulations Definition is defined, as stated by the OIA (2018), as: "Any action by a student which gives or has the potential to give an unfair advantage in an examination or assessment or might assist someone else to gain an unfair advantage, or any activity likely to undermine the integrity essential to scholarship and research."
- 2.2 Examples of academic misconduct given by the OIA (2018) and recognised by this Policy include:
  - a. Plagiarism presenting someone else's work or ideas as the student's own;
  - b. Self-plagiarism submitting the same work that the student has already submitted for another assessment when this is not permitted;
  - c. Taking a copy of another student's work without their permission;
  - d. Falsifying data, evidence or experimental results;
  - e. Collusion working with someone else on an assessment which is intended to be the student's own work;
  - f. Contract cheating where someone completes work for a student who then submits it as their own (including use of essay mills or buying work online);
  - g. Arranging for someone else to impersonate a student by sitting their

examination;

- h. Cheating in examinations (or other formal assessment), including possession of unauthorised material or technology during an examination, and attempting to access unseen assessment materials in advance of an examination;
- Submitting fraudulent extenuating circumstances claims or falsifying evidence in support of extenuating circumstances claims (this may also be considered a non-academic disciplinary matter);

## 3. ACADEMIC REGULATIONS - PHASE 1 INTERVENTION

- 3.1 If a member of staff, student or third party raises a concern regarding a breach of academic regulations these concerns should be passed to the Director of Courses, who will identify who will be the Authorised Person to take the case forward. For an indicative list of roles within the College which can act as an Authorised Person, see appendix A.
- 3.2 The Authorised Person shall review the concerns and write to the student to explain the suspected breach of academic regulations. The student will be provided with any evidence at this phase.
- 3.3 The Authorised Person will meet with the student, who will have the opportunity to respond to the concerns raised. The meeting will also seek to discuss possible interventions that can be put in place to mitigate or address the concerns raised and provide support to those involved.
- 3.4 If the matter is resolved to the satisfaction of both parties there is no further action required. The Authorised Person will communicate the outcome by email or letter to the student and the person who reported the matter. If the matter cannot be resolved at this stage, or if the matter is regarded to be of a serious nature, for example a level 2 or 3 offence, the Authorised Person should proceed to a Phase 2 Investigation.

3.5 We support and encourage a restorative approach to intervention measures. However, where early evidence and/or discussion with the student clearly indicates that a breach of academic conduct has occurred, and an escalation to Phase 2 would neither be proportionate nor helpful to the student, formal sanctions at Phase 1 may be deemed appropriate.

The following sanctions and/or actions in Table 1 may be applied for the first offence. It is important to consider mitigating factors when determining sanctions such as whether the student has shown remorse, admitted the offence quickly, the student was found in possession of unauthorised material but did not intend to gain an advantage, the student has compelling personal circumstances that affected their judgment etc:

#### TABLE 1:

Types of Academic Misconduct	Types of sanctions/actions for Level 1
considered at this phase (Level 1	(first offence)
Offences)	
*this is not an exhaustive list	
<ul><li>Plagiarism</li></ul>	<ul> <li>Educational support for better</li> </ul>
<ul><li>Collusion</li></ul>	academic practice
<ul><li>Cheating in an examination</li></ul>	<ul> <li>Warning issued to student - held</li> </ul>
<ul><li>Falsifying extenuating</li></ul>	on their record for 12 months
circumstances	<ul> <li>Mark for assessment reduced</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Failure to provide an electronic</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Student expected to undertake</li> </ul>
copy when asked	training to ensure that future
<ul> <li>The late return of College</li> </ul>	occurrences do
equipment which is required by	
other students to complete an	
assessment;	

## 4. ACADEMIC REGULATIONS – PHASE 2 INVESTIGATION

- 4.1 Where a case has not, or cannot, be resolved under Phase 1 (Early Intervention), this may be due to the seriousness of the case or repeat offence(s), an Investigator will be appointed by either the Director of Courses or Academic Director to formally investigate further. The Investigator will be someone who has no previous involvement in the case.
- 4.2 The Investigator will seek to:
  - a. Determine whether a breach of academic regulations has taken place.
  - b. Whether it was the student who was responsible for this breach.
  - c. Whether the investigation will consider Professional Statutory and Regulatory Body expectations associated with the Student's course
- 4.3 The Investigator will write to the student who has been reported to have breached the academic regulations inviting them to a meeting with the Investigator. This correspondence will confirm the following:
  - a. The alleged breach and scope of the investigation.
  - b. Details as to how the investigation will be undertaken.
  - c. Any supporting evidence of the alleged breach.
  - d. A copy of the Academic Misconduct Policy.
  - e. Details of additional support services available to the student.
  - f. Right to be accompanied at the meeting by a Supporter who could be a student representative or colleague.
- 4.3 Upon conclusion of the meeting with the student, the notes (not a full transcript) of the meeting will be shared with the student. Following the above meeting, the Investigator will write to any other persons who they

have identified as being necessary to meet with for the purposes of gathering evidence.

- 4.4. All meeting arrangements should incorporate the following:
  - a. Meetings should be confirmed in writing (for example via email) making it clear that the purpose is to gather information.
  - b. Initial meeting correspondence should provide an offer to make an appropriate referral to additional support services.
  - c. Any person invited to a meeting is entitled to bring a supporter who could be a student representative, a family member or friend.
  - d. The investigator must provide a note taker for the meeting. Following the meeting the notes will be circulated to the student. The meeting notes do not have to be confirmed.
- 4.5 Should the student be unable to attend the meeting in person, the student should contact the Investigator before the meeting, who can then make alternative arrangements to consider the allegation (normally virtually).
- 4.6 Should the student fail to attend the meeting without good reason, the Investigator may proceed in their absence.
- 4.7 The Investigator will consider the evidence and determine whether, on the balance of probability, the academic regulations have been breached by the student about whom the concerns were raised.
- 4.8 The Investigator will draft a report which will set out the scope and phases of the investigation, meetings that took place and evidence considered. The report will provide an assessment of the concerns raised and recommendations for next steps, including support for those involved.
- 4.9 There are three possible conclusions noted in the report arising from Phase 2 Investigation. These are as follows:

- a. the matter is resolved and sanctions applied, agreed by both parties and the case will be closed;
- b. the student has breached the academic regulations, they shall decide whether it should be dealt with as a Level 1, Level 2 or Level 3 Academic Offence (see Appendix B). If considered a Level 3 Academic Offence the matter should be escalated to Phase 3 Escalation of this process;
- c. the student has not been considered to be in breach of the academic regulations and the matter will be closed.
- 4.10 The Investigator will communicate in writing the conclusions to the student, the person who reported the breach of academic regulations and if appropriate the Professional Statutory and Regulatory Body associated with the student's course. This correspondence should include the Investigator's report.

#### **TABLE 2**

Types of Academic Misconduct Typically considered under Phase 2 (Level 2 offences)  *this is not an exhaustive list	Types of sanctions typically applied
<ul><li>Plagiarism</li></ul>	<ul> <li>Warning issued to student - held</li> </ul>
<ul><li>Collusion</li></ul>	on their record until completion
<ul> <li>Cheating in an examination</li> </ul>	of their current course
<ul><li>Falsifying extenuating</li></ul>	<ul><li>Mark for assessment/module</li></ul>
circumstances	reduced
<ul> <li>Failure to provide an electronic</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Student required to undertake</li> </ul>
copy when asked	training to ensure that future
<ul> <li>Repeated level 1 offence</li> </ul>	occurrences do not arise
<ul><li>Purchase of an online</li></ul>	
assessment (first offence)	
<ul> <li>The late return of equipment</li> </ul>	
loaned by a Faculty or	
Department which is required by	
other students to complete an	
assessment;	

## 5. ACADEMIC REGULATIONS - PHASE 3 ESCALATION

5.1 If the Investigator believes that a Level 3 Academic Offence has been committed, the case shall be escalated to Phase 3 and the Investigator will submit a signed and dated Phase 3 Academic Report to the Academic Director. The Phase 3 Escalation Academic Report must:

- set out clearly the name of the student whose academic conduct has been drawn into question, the nature and full details of the breach in academic regulations and all the supporting evidence to be presented;
- explain the steps taken to confirm that evidence exists that the breach in academic regulations has taken place and that it could be the responsibility of the student;
- c. explain why the breach in academic regulations is considered to justify the taking of Phase 3 disciplinary action in accordance with this Policy.

Note: The Academic Director may direct that a Phase 3 Academic Report Form be withdrawn at any time before the issue of a Decision Notice.

- 5.2 The Academic Director shall consider the allegation and determine whether it is valid in accordance with 6.1 i, ii, iii above and, if valid, whether it is to be treated as a Phase 3 Academic Offence.
- 5.3 If the Academic Director believes that the allegation should not be treated as a Level 3 Academic Offence, the allegation will be returned to the relevant Authorised Person at the relevant level with a recommendation of Level 1 or 2 Academic Offence support and/or sanctions.
- 5.4 If the Academic Director believes that the allegation should be treated as a Phase 3 Academic Offence they shall give notice of the decision in writing to the student and Investigator within ten working days of its receipt.
- 5.5 After the decision that a Level 3 Academic Offence is valid, the Academic Director will appoint an Escalation Panel, who have no previous involvement with the matter. The Escalation Panel will have a quoracy of three members, and may consist of:
  - a member of Academic Board;

- a senior academic or professional services staff;
- any additional member(s) where required by the relevant Professional Statutory and Regulatory Body associated with the Student's course of study;
- a Secretary appointed by the Academic Director who will keep records of the proceedings.
- 5.6 The Academic Director shall give notice of an Escalation Panel meeting relating to behaviour of each student named in a Phase 3 Academic Report which has been found valid. This notice shall consist of:
  - a copy of the Phase 3 Academic Report;
  - the date, time and venue of the meeting;
  - details of where help and advice may be sought;
  - a copy of any evidence that will be considered;
  - details of a student's right to representation or support at the panel.
- 5.7 The Academic Director shall supply all members of the Escalation Panel and the Investigator who submitted the Phase 3 Academic Report with copies of the notice issued to the student.
- 5.8 If the student is unable to attend (physically or virtually) they can submit a written statement of case which will be considered at the Escalation Panel meeting.
- 5.9 The Escalation Panel will consider the evidence and form a conclusion based on the balance of probability. There are three possible outcomes for Phase 3, each are as follows:
  - a. the matter is resolved to the satisfaction of all parties and the case will be closed;

- the student has been found to have committed an academic offence.
   The panel shall decide whether a sanction should be applied at level 1, level 2 or level 3;
- c. the student has not been considered to be in breach and the matter will be closed.

#### **TABLE 3**

Types of Academic Misconduct	Types of Level 3 sanctions typically
Typically considered under Phase 3	applied
(Level 3 offences)	
*this is not an exhaustive list	
<ul><li>Plagiarism</li></ul>	<ul> <li>Mark for the module reduced to</li> </ul>
<ul><li>Collusion</li></ul>	0
<ul> <li>Cheating in an examination</li> </ul>	<ul><li>Marks for the whole year</li></ul>
<ul> <li>Falsifying extenuating</li> </ul>	reduced to 0
circumstances	<ul> <li>Student is suspended from their</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Failure to provide an electronic</li> </ul>	studies for a period of time
copy when asked	<ul> <li>Student is excluded from the</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>The late return of equipment</li> </ul>	College permanently
loaned by a Faculty or	
Department which is required by	
other students to complete an	
assessment;	

5.10 Following the meeting the Escalation Panel will communicate in writing to the student and the Authorised Person a Decision Notice copied to the Director of Courses. The notice shall consist of the following:

- a. a summary of the major points made during the meeting;
- b. a concise statement of the Escalation Panel's findings;
- c. in the case of any finding that a student's academic conduct is unacceptable,
- d. the actions required in line with a Phase 1, Phase 2 or Phase 3 Academic Offence and the arrangements for its application;
- e. appropriate support available for the student and where appropriate the person who reported the breach of academic regulations;
- f. an explanation of the student's right of appeal.
- 5.11 Where appropriate the Escalation Panel will communicate in writing to the Professional Statutory and Regulatory Body associated with the student's course the conclusion of the process and any actions expected of the student which affect the Professional Statutory and Regulatory Body. This correspondence will be reviewed by the University's Information Disclosure team prior to being sent to ensure GDPR compliance.

## 6. OFFICE OF THE INDEPENDENT ADJUDICATOR

If, having exhausted Laine Theatre Arts' internal procedures, a student remains dissatisfied with the outcome of a complaint relating to academic misconduct, they may be entitled to request an independent review by the Office of the Independent Adjudicator for Higher Education (OIA). The OIA is an external body set up to review student complaints about higher education providers in England and Wales. Further information, including eligibility criteria and the process for submitting a complaint, is available on the OIA website at <a href="https://www.oiahe.org.uk">www.oiahe.org.uk</a>.

#### APPENDIX A - GLOSSARY OF TERMS

#### **Authorised Person/Investigator**

The Authorised Person/Investigator is any person who has the responsibility for the preparation, review and/or investigation of an allegation raised against a student. This role can be undertaken by academic and professional services staff, and more typically will be referred to:

- Principal and Artistic Director
- Director of Courses
- Director of Dance
- Director of Musical Theatre
- Director of Performance
- Heads of Departments
- Deputy Academic Director

#### Student

Any person currently registered for a programme of study or module(s) and who has registered as a student on that programme at the College.

#### Suspension

Involves either total or selective restriction on attendance at or access to the College, including placement arrangements. The exact details of any suspension will be communicated in writing.

## **KEY DATA**

Version:	1
Approved by:	Academic Board on 18 September 2025
Review Interval:	1 Year
Last Review Date:	September 2025
Next Review Date:	August 2026